

1- Soit a, b, c, d, e et f des constantes, et X et Y des variables aléatoires (v.a.). Montrez que

a) $\text{var}(a + bX) = b^2\text{var}(X)$

b) $\text{var}(a + bX + cY) = b^2\text{var}(X) + c^2\text{var}(Y) + 2bc\text{cov}(X, Y)$

c) $\text{cov}(a + bX + cY, d + eX + fY) = be\text{var}(X) + cf\text{var}(Y) + (bf + ce)\text{cov}(X, Y)$

a. Let $Y = a + bX$, then $E(Y) = E(a + bX) = a + bE(X)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(Y) &= E[Y - E(Y)]^2 = E[a + bX - a - bE(X)]^2 = E[b(X - E(X))]^2 \\ &= b^2E[X - E(X)]^2 = b^2\text{var}(X).\end{aligned}$$

Only the multiplicative constant b matters for the variance, not the additive constant a .

b. Let $Z = a + bX + cY$, then $E(Z) = a + bE(X) + cE(Y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(Z) &= E[Z - E(Z)]^2 = E[a + bX + cY - a - bE(X) - cE(Y)]^2 \\ &= E[b(X - E(X)) + c(Y - E(Y))]^2 \\ &= b^2E[X - E(X)]^2 + c^2E[Y - E(Y)]^2 + 2bc E[X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)] \\ &= b^2\text{var}(X) + c^2\text{var}(Y) + 2bc\text{cov}(X, Y).\end{aligned}$$

c. Let $Z = a + bX + cY$, and $W = d + eX + fY$, then $E(Z) = a + bE(X) + cE(Y)$

$$E(W) = d + eE(X) + fE(Y)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov}(Z, W) &= E[Z - E(Z)][W - E(W)] \\ &= E[b(X - E(X)) + c(Y - E(Y))][e(X - E(X)) + f(Y - E(Y))] \\ &= be\text{var}(X) + cf\text{var}(Y) + (bf + ce)\text{cov}(X, Y).\end{aligned}$$

2- Indépendance et corrélations

a) Montrez que si les v.a. X et Y sont indépendantes, alors $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y) = \mu_x \mu_y$ avec $\mu_x = E(X)$ et $\mu_y = E(Y)$. Ainsi montrez aussi que $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E(X - \mu_x)(Y - \mu_y) = 0$

b) Montrez que si $Y = a + bX$, avec a et b des constantes, alors $\rho_{xy} = 1$ si $b > 0$ et $\rho_{xy} = -1$ si $b < 0$.

a. Assume that X and Y are continuous random variables. The proof is similar if X and Y are discrete random variables and is left to the reader. If X and Y are independent, then $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ where $f_1(x)$ is the marginal probability density function (p.d.f.) of X and $f_2(y)$ is the marginal p.d.f. of Y . In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} E(XY) &= \iint xyf(x, y)dx dy = \iint xyf_1(x)f_2(y)dx dy \\ &= \left(\int xf_1(x)dx\right)\left(\int yf_2(y)dy\right) = E(X)E(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(X, Y) &= E[(X - E(X)) (Y - E(Y))] = E(XY) - E[X E(Y)] - E[E(X) Y] + E[E(X)E(Y)] \\ &= E(XY) - E[X E(Y)] - E[E(X) Y] + E[E(X)E(Y)] \\ &= E(XY) - E[X] E(Y) - E(X) E[Y] + E(X)E(Y) \end{aligned}$$

We can eliminate the last two terms

$$= E(XY) - E[X] E(Y)$$

And if we have independence $E(XY) = E[X] E(Y)$

Thus $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[X] E(Y) - E[X] E(Y) = 0$

b. If $Y = a + bX$, then $E(Y) = a + bE(X)$ and $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)] = E[X - E(X)][a + bX - a - bE(X)] = b \text{var}(X)$ which takes the sign of b since $\text{var}(X)$ is always positive. Hence,

$$\text{corr}(X, y) = \rho_{xy} = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}} = \frac{b \text{var}(X)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}}$$

but $\text{var}(Y) = b^2 \text{var}(X)$ from problem 1a. Hence, $\rho_{XY} = \frac{b \text{var}(X)}{\sqrt{b^2(\text{var}(X))^2}} = \pm 1$ depending on the sign of b .

3- Soit $X = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$ avec des probabilités données par $P(X = x) = 1 / 5 = 0.2$. De plus, assumez une relation quadratique parfaite entre X et Y , soit $Y = X^2$.

a) Montrez que $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E(X^3) = 0$.

b) Déduisez que $\rho_{XY} = \text{corr}(X, Y) = 0$

Zero Covariance Does Not Necessarily Imply Independence. Let $X = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$ with $\Pr[X = x] = 1/5$. Assume a perfect quadratic relationship between Y and X , namely $Y = X^2$. Show that $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E(X^3) = 0$. Deduce that $\rho_{XY} = \text{correlation}(X, Y) = 0$. The simple correlation coefficient ρ_{XY} measures the strength of the *linear* relationship between X and Y . For this example, it is zero even though there is a perfect *nonlinear* relationship between X and Y . This is also an example of the fact that if $\rho_{XY} = 0$, then X and Y are not necessarily independent. $\rho_{xy} = 0$ is a necessary but not sufficient condition for X and Y to be independent. The converse, however, is true, i.e., if X and Y are independent, then $\rho_{XY} = 0$, see problem 2.

Zero Covariance Does Not Necessarily Imply Independence.

X	P(X)
-2	1/5
-1	1/5
0	1/5
1	1/5
2	1/5

$$E(X) = \sum_{x=-2}^2 xP(X) = \frac{1}{5}[(-2) + (-1) + 0 + 1 + 2] = 0$$

$$E(X^2) = \sum_{x=-2}^2 x^2P(X) = \frac{1}{5}[4 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 4] = 2$$

and $\text{var}(X) = 2$. For $Y = X^2$, $E(Y) = E(X^2) = 2$ and

$$E(X^3) = \sum_{x=-2}^2 x^3P(X) = \frac{1}{5}[(-2)^3 + (-1)^3 + 0 + 1^3 + 2^3] = 0$$

In fact, any odd moment of X is zero. Therefore,

$$E(YX) = E(X^2 \cdot X) = E(X^3) = 0$$

6- Pour la régression simple avec une constante $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$ vérifiez que les propriétés de l'estimateur des MCO suivantes tiennent.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i x_{i2} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{y}_i = 0 \quad \text{et} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

The equivalent properties in matrix notation

$$\iota' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad x_2' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \hat{y}' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota' \hat{y} = \iota' y$$

From the OLS defining equation and orthogonality condition we have

$$X' \hat{\varepsilon} = X'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = 0$$

In the case where $X = \begin{bmatrix} \iota & x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \iota & x_2 \end{bmatrix}' \hat{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \iota' \\ x_2' \end{bmatrix} \hat{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \iota' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i2} \hat{\varepsilon}_i = x_2' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\text{With regards to } \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{y}_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i (\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2}) = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i x_{i2} = 0 \quad \text{since } \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \iota' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i2} \hat{\varepsilon}_i = x_2' \hat{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\text{Where } \hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2}$$

Using the fact that $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ we can sum both sides to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i$$

$$\text{But since } \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = 0,$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i$$

Dividing both sides we also get

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i}{n}$$

7- Pour la régression avec une constante suivante $y_i = \beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$ avec $\varepsilon_i \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$, vérifiez que l'estimateur des moindres carrés de β_1 est tel que $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{y}$, que $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma^2/n$ et que la somme des résidus au carré est donnée par $\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{y}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ Il y avait un erratum avec le = sur le y qu'il faut ajouter à la question.

The OLS problem is given by

$$\min_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1)(y_i - \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i y_i - y_i \beta_1 - \beta_1 y_i + \beta_1^2)$$

Minimizing with respect to β_1

The first order condition (FOC) is:

$$\frac{\partial \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2y_i \beta_1 + \beta_1^2) \right)}{\partial \beta_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_1) = 0 \text{ once we equalize it to 0}$$

So this gives us the OLS defining equation $-2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_1) = 0$

$$\text{Solving give us } \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n} = \bar{y}.$$

Averaging the model $y_i = \beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$ gives us

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}_{\equiv \bar{y}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{n} (n\beta_1) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i}_{\equiv \bar{\varepsilon}} = \beta_1 + \bar{\varepsilon}$$

Hence from $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n} = \bar{y}$ we can write the above equation as $\hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \bar{\varepsilon}$

Taking expectations, we get

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + E(\bar{\varepsilon}) = \beta_1 \text{ since } E(\bar{\varepsilon}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i\right) = 0 \text{ from the fact that } \varepsilon_i \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= (\hat{\beta}_1 - E(\hat{\beta}_1))^2 = (\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2 = E(\bar{\varepsilon}^2) \quad \text{from } \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \bar{\varepsilon} \\
&= E\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i}{n}\right)^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i\right)^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} E\left((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left((\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left((\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_1) + (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_2) + \dots + (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_n + \dots + \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_n)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left((\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_n)\right) \quad \text{since } \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \quad \text{because of independence } \varepsilon_i \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left((\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n^2)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} (\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \dots + \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{n^2} (n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \quad \text{since } E(\varepsilon_i) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

With the OLS matrix expression for the variance we would have obtained more easily

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (\iota' \iota)^{-1} = \sigma^2 / n \quad \text{since } X \equiv \iota$$

This is much more easy on the eyes notation wise, and less time consuming.

$$\text{The USS} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_1)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{y}_i^2$$

8- Pour la régression sans constante $y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \varepsilon_i$ avec $\varepsilon_i \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$

a) Dérivez l'estimateur des MCO de β_1 et trouvez sa variance.

b) Quelles propriétés de l'estimateur des MCO décrites dans la question 6 tiennent toujours pour ce modèle.

$$a) \min_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_{i1})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_{i1})(y_i - \beta_1 x_{i1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i y_i - y_i \beta_1 x_{i1} - \beta_1 x_{i1} y_i + \beta_1 x_{i1} x_{i1} \beta_1)$$

with respect to β_1 the FOC gives us $-2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i x_{i1} - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} x_{i1}) = 0$ once we equalize it to 0

$$\text{Solving give us } \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} x_{i1}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} y_{i1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2}$$

$$\text{Substituting } y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \varepsilon_i \text{ gives us } \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} (\beta_1 x_{i1} + \varepsilon_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2}$$

Taking the expectation

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = E \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_{i1} x_{i1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2} \right) + E \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2} \right) = \beta_1 \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} E(\varepsilon_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2} \right) = \beta_1 + 0 = \beta_1$$

since x_{i1} is non-stochastic and since $E\varepsilon_i = 0$ or since $E(X' \varepsilon) = E(x_1' \varepsilon) = E(\sum \varepsilon_i x_{i1}) = 0$

Thus $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + 0 = \beta_1$

Also from the general OLS matrix formulation, the variance

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma^2 (X' X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1}^2 \right)}$$

b) Because we don't have a constant $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \neq 0$ generally

* Prove this by a counter example

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta_1 + \varepsilon \text{ estimated by ols would give us } \hat{\beta}_1 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{10} \cdot 22 = 11 / 5$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_{i1} \hat{\beta}_1) = (6 - 3 \cdot \frac{11}{5}) + (4 - 1 \cdot \frac{11}{5}) = 1.2 \neq 0 \text{ You could use any numbers that shows inequality.}$$

* From the FOC orthogonality condition we have that $0 = X' \hat{\varepsilon} = x_1' \hat{\varepsilon} = \sum \hat{\varepsilon}_i x_{i1}$

$$* \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \neq 0 \text{ generally implies that } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i + \hat{\varepsilon}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i}_{\neq 0 \text{ generally}}$$

$$* \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \underbrace{\hat{y}_i}_{x_{i1} \hat{\beta}_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i x_{i1} \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i x_{i1}}_{=0} = 0$$